

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,642	04/08/2004	Eric G. Lovett	GUID.611PA	8502	
51294 HOLLINGSW	7590 05/30/2007 ORTH & FUNK, LLC		EXAMINER		
8009 34TH AVE S.			MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55425		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3766		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	dvisory Action	10/820,642	LOVETT ET AL.	
Before the Filing	g of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kristen Droesch Mullen	3766	
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May</u>	2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
this application, applic places the application a Request for Continu- time periods:	ant must timely file one of the follo in condition for allowance; (2) a No ed Examination (RCE) in complian	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	expiresmonths from the mailing	ig date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	vichovar is later. In
no event, however, v		later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin		
	ox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
nave been filed is the date for p under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcula set forth in (b) above, if checked	urposes of determining the period of exact and the period of exact and the period of the arrival of the expiration date of the	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da b).	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of App a Notice of Appeal has AMENDMENTS	peal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions been filed, any reply must be filed	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ne appeal. Since
(a) They raise new (b) They raise the is	issues that would require further cossue of new matter (see NOTE belo	but prior to the date of filing a brief onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
appeal; and/or		corresponding number of finally re		
	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jootoa olamiio.	
		121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or an non-allowable claim(s) 		allowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appear how the new or amend The status of the claim Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from the control of the claim control	al, the proposed amendment(s): a) ded claims would be rejected is pro n(s) is (or will be) as follows:	o⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EV		ut hafara ar an tha data of films - N	latica of Appeal will =	ot he entered
because applicant fail was not earlier presen	ed to provide a showing of good anted. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
entered because the a	affidavit or other evidence failed to	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a

Kristen Droesch Mullen KRISTEN D. MULLEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to an intended use of a therapy for the purpose of preventing asystole, but the claim does not make clear that the asystole prevention therapy is different from the bradycardia therapy. The bradycardia therapy and the asystole prevention therapy could be one and the same therapy, just with two different intended uses. It is the examiner's position that a bradycardia therapy can also be used as an asystole prevention therapy, since pacing the heart to overcome a slow heart rate also prevents aystole since asystole is the ultimate slow heart rate.